JournalLing Review Criteria: **Total score out of 20:**

1. Clarity and presentation   / 5
    - Clear language, writing is easy to follow
    - No grammatical errors or typos
    - Concepts used in the paper are clearly defined
    - Structure of the paper is logical and divided into appropriate sections

Reviewer's Comments:

2. Accuracy   / 5
    - Sufficient use of evidence
    - Complete citations with correct formatting
    - Background information is clearly explained and accurate

Reviewer's Comments:

3. Originality and significance of article   / 5
    - The paper introduces a new idea and does not simply restate existing evidence/theories
    - Author demonstrates a deep understanding of their claim and properly explains how it is significant to the field
    - Author integrates their own insights to the data and their claim

Reviewer's Comments:

4. Argumentation: / 5
   - Critical examination of whether the data supports the hypothesis
   - Potential alternatives and limitations are addressed
   - Evidence used to support the hypothesis is relevant
   - Data and evidence are integrated together clearly to properly follow from the argument
   - Conclusion holds value and is not a simple restatement of the introduction

Reviewer Comments:

Grading Scale (Per Criteria):
5/5: the paper conveyed all points in the criteria perfectly
4/5: the paper conveyed most points in the criteria very well (e.g. 1 point may be conveyed with minor errors)
3/5 : the paper conveyed some points listed well (e.g. >1 point has errors)
2/5 : the paper conveyed a few points listed well (i.e. less than half of the points were conveyed well)
1/5 : the paper did not convey any of the points listed (i.e. some effort present but none of the points were conveyed well)
0/5: the paper does not fit any of these criteria (no effort to convey any points)